



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/721,528	11/2	4/2003	Danny E. Scott	1684-3739.2US (484-12186-	5325		
24247	7590	03/07/2005		EXAMINER			
TRASK E	RITT		ASHLEY, BOYER DOLINGER				
P.O. BOX	2550		•				
SALT LAI	KE CITY, UT	84110		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER			
•				3724			

DATE MAILED: 03/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application No.		Applicant(s)				
			10/721,528		SCOTT, DANNY E.				
Office Action Summary		-	Examiner		Art Unit				
			Boyer D. Ashley ·		3724				
The Period for Rep	MAILING DATE of this communically	cation appe	ars on the cover sh	eet with the co	orrespondence ad	idress			
A SHORTE THE MAILI - Extensions of after SIX (6) - If the period of the	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNIO If time may be available under the provisions of MONTHS from the mailing date of this common for reply specified above is less than thirty (30 for reply is specified above, the maximum stated by within the set or extended period for reply we be served by the Office later than three months after term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136 unication.) days, a reply w lutory period will vill, by statute, ca	(a). In no event, however within the statutory minimu apply and will expire SIX ause the application to be	may a reply be time m of thirty (30) days (6) MONTHS from to come ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered time he mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
2a)☐ This 3)☐ Since									
Disposition of	Claims								
4a) O 5)									
Application Pa	apers								
10)⊠ The d Applio Repla	pecification is objected to by the lrawing(s) filed on 24 November cant may not request that any objectement drawing sheet(s) including eath or declaration is objected to	2003 is/are tion to the dr the correctio	e: a)⊠ accepted of awing(s) be held in a n is required if the d	abeyance. See rawing(s) is obje	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Ackno a) All 1. 2. 3.	owledgment is made of a claim f b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority of Certified copies of the priority of	documents documents of the priority all Bureau (have been receive have been receive y documents have (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	d. d in Applicatio been receive).	on No d in this National	Stage			
	ferences Cited (PTO-892)			erview Summary (
2) Notice of Dragon Notice of Dragon Notice of Dragon	aftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F /Mail Date <u>2/3/05; 11/24/03</u> .		Par 5) <u> </u>	er No(s)/Mail Dat		O-152)			

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Although the examiner does not necessarily agree with applicant's arguments regarding the restriction requirement, the restriction requirement is henceforth withdrawn.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-8 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-16 of U.S. Patent No. 6,655,234. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they differ only in claim terminology but encompass the same subject matter, that is, the claims 1-16 of U.S. Patent 6,655,234, anticipate the claim language of the instant application.

Application Number: 10/721,528 - Non Final Rejection Page Number: 2 - Date 3/1/05

Art Unit: 3724

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claims 5-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 5, line 1, the expression "a substrate" appears to be a double inclusion of the substrate set forth in claim 1.

In claim 9, line 1, the expression "a superabrasive table" appears to be a double inclusion of the superabrasive table set forth in claim 1.

In claim 13, line 1, the expression "a substrate" appears to be a double inclusion of the substrate set forth in claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1, 5, 9, 13, 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tibbitts, U.S. Patent 5,316,095, in view of UK Patent GB 2,236,699, hereinafter, GB '699, and Quinlan et al., U.S. Patent 4,743,481.

Tibbitts discloses the invention substantially as claimed, including the steps of: forming a substrate of hard material (10/16) with at least one internal cavity

Art Unit: 3724

(22/46/70/68/42'/70') and an attachment surface (the rear of 34 or the rear of 30); attaching a superabrasive table (30) to the attachment surface.

Tibbitts discloses the invention substantially as claimed except for the step of filling the internal cavity with a substantially non-compressible filler material and removing the filler material from the internal cavity after the step of forming the super abrasive table on the attachment surface. However, GB '699 discloses that it is old and well known in the art to introduce filler into hollow cavities prior to further processing for the purpose of preventing contaminants from entering the hollow cavities such that the manufacturing process is enhanced. Further GB '699 further discloses the step of removing the filler after processing. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to use a filler in the internal cavities of the substrate of Tibbitts prior to attaching the super abrasive table on the attachment surface in order to prevent contaminants from entering the internal cavities during the step of attaching the table.

In the alternative, even if it argued that filler material of the modified method of Tibbitts is not noncompressible, Quinlan et al. discloses that it is old and well known in the art to use incompressible filler material for the purpose of supporting performs that are to be subjected high pressures and temperatures as well as removal the filler material after the high pressure/temperature process. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to use an noncompressible filler material with modified method of Tibbitts in order to prevent the filler material from being effected by the high pressure/temperature process.

Art Unit: 3724

As to claim 9, the modified device of Tibbitts discloses as shown in Figure 3 (column 3, lines 29-40, column 5, lines 45-56) a bonding surface (the rear of table 30 attached to substrate 34) with at least one channel formed within the bonding surface (42) with at least one inlet and outlet as shown. Alternatively, the bonding surface could be considered as the rear of substrate 34 with at least one channel (46) formed within the bonding surface with at least one inlet (the rear) and outlet (the front) proximate (proximate defined as close or near) the outer periphery.

As to claims 13, 17, and 21, see Figure 3-3A and the comments above regarding claim 9, it is shown both bit (36), substrate (34), and table (30) all with internal passages, that communicate with each other, having inlets and outlets, wherein the outlets are proximate (near) the outer periphery of the substrate and tables.

8. Claims 2, 6, 10, 14, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tibbitts, in view of GB '699 and Quinlan et al. as applied to claim 1 above and further in view of Heine et al., U.S. Patent 4,802,525.

The modified device of Tibbitts discloses the invention substantially as claimed except for removing the filler material by mechanical means; however, Heine et al. discloses that it is old and well known in the art to use mechanical means with water for the purpose of facilitating the separating of parts after a casting process. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to use a mechanical means in order to remove the filler material from the internal cavity of the modified device of Tibbitts, as taught by Heine et al.

Art Unit: 3724

9. Claims 3-4, 7-8, 11-12, 15-16, and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tibbitts, in view of GB '699 and Quinlan et al. as applied to

claim 1 above and further in view of Alden, U.S. Patent 1,554,697.

The modified device of Tibbitts discloses the invention substantially as claimed

except for the filler material comprising sodium chloride or salt; however, Alden

discloses that it is old and well known in the art to use salt as dissolvable core in the

manufacture of hollow articles for the purpose of readily detaching the core from the

finished product. Therefore, it would have been obvious to use salt as the filler material

in the modified device of Tibbitts in order to facilitate the removal of the filler material.

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure.

11. The prior art references cited but not relied upon were cited to shown similar

devices in the art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Boyer D. Ashley whose telephone number is 571-272-

4502. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Allan N. Shoap can be reached on 571-272-4514. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3724

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Boyer D. Ashley Primary Examiner Art Unit 3724

BDA March 1, 2005